Probiotics added to maternal nutrition affect infantile colic symptoms and fecal microbiota profile: a single-blind randomized controlled study
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ABSTRACT

Background: Infantile colic has a multifactorial etiology; although various treatments have been attempted to manage and alleviate its symptoms, a solution is lacking, adversely affecting mothers and their babies. Recent studies have suggested that dysbiosis may play a role in the pathogenesis of infantile colic and that modulating the gut microbiota, including the use of probiotics, may aid its management.

Purpose: This single-blind randomized controlled study evaluated the effect of probiotics (Actiregularis, \(5 \times 10^6\)) added to the diet of mothers on infantile colic symptoms and neonatal gut microbiota content.

Methods: A probiotic drink containing the Actiregularis \((5 \times 10^6)\) strain was added to the diet of mothers in the experimental group once daily for 15 consecutive days. Stool samples were collected from each infant twice, on days 0 and 15, and fecal 16s rRNA gene sequencing and compositional-based metabolomic analyses were performed. The mothers recorded the babies’ crying frequency and severity for 15 days using a daily form created by the researchers. This study was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (ID: NCT04374955).

Results: Infants whose mothers were supplemented with Actiregularis for 15 days showed a decreased frequency (\(p=0.00\)) and intensity (\(p<0.001\)) of crying as well as a significantly increased bacterial diversity in the stools (\(p=0.017\)). This variety was substantially affected by the addition of probiotic products. The greatest species diversity was observed in the group treated with probiotics, while the least diversity was observed in the control group (Shannon, \(p=0.0043\); Simpson, \(p=0.017\)).

Conclusion: Babies treated with Actiregularis added to their mother’s diet for 15 days showed decreased crying frequency and intensity and increased bacterial diversity and density.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key message</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question:</strong> Do probiotics added to maternal nutrition affect infantile colic symptoms and intestinal microbiota?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Finding:</strong> Infants whose mothers ingested probiotics demonstrated decreased crying frequency and intensity and significantly increased bacterial diversity in the stools. The bacterial variety was substantially affected by the added probiotic product.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Meaning:** The addition of probiotics to maternal nutrition in early infancy could play an important role in preventing infantile colic.
Introduction

Infantile colic (IC) is a functional gastrointestinal disorder that affects one in five infants in the first three months of life, peaks at about six weeks of age, and resolves spontaneously before six months.1) Although IC is a benign neonatal problem characterized by uncontrollable crying spells, it is an excruciating process for parents and infants.2,3)

Infantile colic has a multifactorial etiology; various treatments have been tested to manage and alleviate its symptoms.4) Recent studies suggest that changes in the gut microbiota may contribute to the development of colic2,3) since studies have reported lower microbial diversity and impaired microbial colonization (dysbiosis) in the intestinal microbiota of infants with infantile colic.5,6)

Scientists speculate that dysbiosis may play a role in the pathogenesis of infantile colic and that modulation of the gut microbiota, including probiotics, may work for its management.7,8) Many studies have evaluated probiotic supplements in treating infantile colic and have shown positive results in their effectiveness.9,10,11) However, the effects and safety of probiotics vary according to the probiotic strain.7,8)

In studies, probiotic products were generally used in infant nutrition, and there are hardly any studies that add probiotics to maternal nutrition. Several existing studies have shown that probiotic products added to maternal nutrition positively affect colic.5,12) The Bifidobacterium strain was added to the maternal diet in the infant probiotic category in these studies.

This study aims to evaluate the effect of probiotic products (containing the strain Actiregularis subsp. (5x10⁶ cfu/ml)) added for 15 days to the diet of mothers whose infants were diagnosed with infantile colic on infants’ daily crying frequency, severity, and fecal bacterial microbiota content.

Methods

1. Study design

The study population consisted of cases diagnosed with infantile colic in the pediatric polyclinic of a training and research hospital in Istanbul between 1 August 2020 and 1 June 2021. The present study is planned as a single-blind, randomized controlled clinical trial to evaluate the effect of a probiotic product containing Actiregularis subsp strain added to the diet of mothers of infants diagnosed with infantile
colic daily crying frequency, severity, and fecal bacterial microbiota content of infants. The study design was defined according to the international recognized guidelines for clinical studies (www.ClinicalTrials.gov, Identifier NCT04374955).

2. Patients and methods

Eligible participants were mothers of all infants aged 0-6 months who applied to the pediatric outpatient clinic of Istanbul Haseki Sultangazi Hospital with the complaint of crying. Inclusion criteria of the cases in the study were: (a) being between 30-60 days of postnatal age, (b) vaginal delivery, (c) feeding the baby with breast milk more than 8-10 times a day (more than 50%), (d) the newborn was born at 37-42 weeks of gestation, (e) getting a score of 10 or less from the Beck Depression Inventory, and (f) diagnosing with colic (a week before the start of the study, the baby’s crying periods last more than three hours a day, at least three days in a week).

Exclusion criteria from the study were: (a) acute or chronic disease in the mother and infant, (b) gastrointestinal diseases and gastro-oesophageal reflux, (c) mother and infant used probiotics or antibiotics one week before or during randomization. Mothers were discluded from the study when they did not take the probiotic product twice in a row, started drug therapy for IC, stopped breastfeeding, or used formula.

A detailed physical examination and history were taken by a single pediatrician (DO) responsible for each infant who applied to the clinic with the complaint of crying. First of all, conditions such as food allergy and lactose intolerance were excluded in infants. Parents of infants diagnosed with infantile colic by the physician and meeting the inclusion criteria were given a 7-day questionnaire. They evaluated the infants’ crying times, sleep, wakefulness, or feeding. Seven days later, an appointment was made for the diagnosis, and the infants and their mothers who met the colic criteria (150 mothers and infants) were sent to the researcher (AYK).

All mothers included in the study filled in the “Data Collection Form-A, B, C, D” (on day 0), and stool samples were taken from their infants. Stool samples were collected from diapers into sterile plastic tubes and stored at -80°C until analysis. Stool samples were collected into two separate falcon tubes.
with the help of a spoon and at least one spoonful (250mg) in each tube (total 500mg). A “Baby Diary Form” was given to the mothers, and they were asked to record the crying intensity (out of 10) and frequency (increased, decreased, unchanged) of the baby from day 0 to day 15 and bring the form when coming to the control on the 15th day.

The mothers in the experimental group were asked to use the standard policy of the institution and the prebiotic product given by the researcher (AYK) for fifteen consecutive days from day 0 to manage infantile colic symptoms. While using probiotic products, mothers were warned not to take other commercial products containing probiotics and a treatment method during the research period, and no special dietary restrictions were recommended [5,12]. Fifteen follow-ups were carried out with the mothers during the study period (for daily probiotic use and filling out the daily baby cry form). Follow-up was in the form of daily phone calls of the researcher to the mothers. Probiotic products were given to the mothers in a box of 15 products—the empty boxes were asked to be delivered to the researcher on the 15th day.

The institution’s standard policy for infantile colic symptoms was offered to mothers in the control group. They were warned not to take any treatment method, and an appointment was made for all mothers 15 days later. The “Baby Diary Form”, which they filled out for 15 days, was collected from all mothers who came for the control on the fifteenth day. Afterward, 2nd stool samples were taken from all infants (Figure 1).

The probiotic product used in the current study, containing the Actiregularis (5 x 106 cfu/ml) strain, is sold in the markets, and no sponsorship was received from the company for the supply of the product. Ethics Committee (No:2020-85) approval was obtained from the Clinical Research Ethics Committee (10.06.2020) for the ethical suitability of the study. Further, written consent was obtained from the institution where the study was conducted and the mothers participating.

3. Randomization and blinding

All mothers included in the study were randomized into two groups as experimental and control. The website (www.randomizer.org) was used for randomization. The lottery result was named experimental
group A and control group B, and the randomization codes and all data were kept confidential until they were analyzed. All participants and the statisticians evaluating the research results were blinded to the group assignment—the researcher (AYK) did not participate in any statistical analysis step to avoid bias.

4. Statistical analysis

Primary outcome measures were reduction in crying duration and intensity in colic and rate of species diversity in microbial colonization. The success rate was defined by ≥50% reduction in mean daily crying time (intensity less than 5 out of 10) expressed in duration and severity and increased bacterial species diversity. 16 S NovaSeq Scanning Panel and Illumina NovaSeq 6000 Device were used for microbiota analysis of stool samples. Stool samples, DNA extraction, PCR amplification, and sequencing were evaluated by bioinformatics analyses.

The data obtained in the study were analyzed using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) for Windows 25.0 software. Normally distributed quantitative variables were compared using the Independent t-test. The Mann-Whitney U test was used for the not normally distributed variables. The analysis of variance was used in repeated measurements when it had a normal distribution. The Friedman test was used when it did not have a normal distribution. Bonferroni was used to find the time that made a difference when there was a difference, and the ratios were compared using the χ² test or the Fisher Exact Test, as appropriate. A p-value of <0.05 was considered significant for all tests.

The sample size was calculated by power analysis, and a 50% reduction in the daily crying time of the infants in the intervention group was considered sufficient in its calculation. The analysis was performed using the Statistical Analysis System (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, ABD) version 9.3 [5] According to this calculation, it was sufficient to include 30 mothers and babies, 15 mothers and babies in each group, with a 95% confidence interval, alpha 0.05, and 80% power. However, considering the case losses, the sample size would be 36 mothers and babies, 18 in both groups. After the data collection process, five mothers were excluded from the sample for various reasons (Figure 1); thus, the study was completed with 31 mothers.
Results

The study excluded 114 of the 150 mothers and infants diagnosed with infantile colic, and referred them to the investigator. Of those excluded, 84 were excluded because they did not meet the criteria, 28 refused to participate, and both received high scores on the Beck Depression Inventory. Thirty-six mothers and infants were included in the study and were randomized as control (n = 18) and experimental (n = 18). The study was completed with 31 infants (16 in the control group and 15 in the experimental group) as five infants failed after a 15-day follow-up (Figure 1).

Socio-demographic and obstetric characteristics of the mothers and babies in the experimental and control groups are given in Supplementary Table 1. There was no significant difference between the socio-demographic data of the two groups in the parameters such as the mother’s age, the weight gained during pregnancy, smoking and the sex of the baby, birth weight, postnatal age, and diet.

The decrease in mean crying frequency was similar for the two groups at baseline. There was a statistically significant decrease in the crying frequency of infants in the group where mothers used probiotics compared to the control group on the seventh day, and the degree of significance of this decrease continued to increase on the 15th day (Table 2).

Sequencing (sequencing) of PCR amplified 16S rRNA genes from 31 stool samples from infants yielded sequencing results at an average read depth of 170,000. Each sample had at least 11,647 readings. The bacterial species diversity (Alpha and Beta) profile of the microbes on the 0th and 15th days of the stool samples of the experimental and control group infants participating in the study are given in Figure 2.

The species diversity (Alpha) of bacteria found in stool samples between the experimental and control groups did not differ significantly at day 0 (Shannon, p=0.30; Simpson, p = 0.63) There was a significant difference in species diversity on the 15th day (Figure 2.A). In all indices evaluating diversity between groups, especially Shannon and Simpson diversity indices, on day 15, the experimental group was significantly different from the control group. The greatest diversity based on species was seen in the experimental group, while the lowest diversity was found in the control group (Shannon, p= 0.0043; Simpson, p= 0.0017 Figure 2-B). In the present study, the difference between the experimental and control groups (on the 0th and 15th days) and the difference between the groups were evaluated, and a
significant difference was found in terms of alpha diversity (p=0.017). Unweighted UniFrac distance was used to assess beta variation among stool samples. Differences between groups were evaluated using a non-parametric analysis of molecular variance. In the examination of the results, it was observed that the stool microbiota (yellow dotted area) of infants with probiotics on day 0 is similar to the composition of control infants (blue dotted) (Figure 2,B) yet significantly different in comparison at day 15 (p = 0.022; r² = 0.027) (Figure 2,B).

In the study, the microbiota profile of the 0 and 15-day stool samples of experimental and control group infants is shown in Figure 3,A and Figure 3,B.

The study examined 99.99% of the most abundant operational taxonomic units (OTUs), which reduced the stool samples of babies in the experimental and control groups to 4 different classes (Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, and Proteobacteria). When stool samples of infants in the experimental group were compared with the control group, the most common bacterial class was Actinobacteria (P = 0.039). A statistically insignificant decrease (P = 0.84; Figure 3, B) in Proteobacteria characterized it. The variation of class abundances between the groups did not show much significance at the end of the 15th day. It was observed that the density of Actinobacteria class bacteria in the experimental group was higher than the control group (p = 0.039), and there was a statistically insignificant decrease (p = 0.84) in Proteobacteria. The study determined that the microbiota profiles of both the experimental and control groups were different from each other within the group. There was no statistically significant difference in microbial diversity due to the DNA analysis in which the difference between the groups was evaluated (p>0.05).

Discussion

In the current study, it was observed that the Actiregularis subsp. (5x10^6 cfu/ml) strain added to the mother’s diet decreased significantly in the crying intensity of the babies in the experimental group compared to the babies in the control group (Table 1). The different findings in the literature can be explained by the fact that the microbiota is affected by many factors, and the probiotic strains used are
different. It may be recommended to conduct studies with larger samples and probiotic strains with more homogeneous groups.

In recent years, scientists have thought that dysbiosis may play a role in the pathogenesis of IC. The use of probiotics for its management will prevent dysbiosis in the gut microbiota. In particular, probiotic strains such as *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* have been shown to positively affect the composition of the intestinal microbiota and the immune system’s function, reduce crying times, and provide microbial change. In a study by Baldassairra et al (2018) it was shown that oral probiotic supplementation to mothers during the perinatal period might also have positive results on inconsolable crying in the first month of life and the mother’s feeling of inadequacy. However, there was no significant difference in neonatal gut microbiota composition between the placebo and experimental groups. In a randomized controlled study where Roos et al. (2013) evaluated stool samples of infants with colic, it was found that the *Lactobacillus reuteri DSM17938* strain added to the experimental group reduced colic symptoms compared to placebo, and the decrease was associated with an increase in *Bacteroidetes*.

In the present study, the species diversity of bacteria in stool samples between the experimental and control groups did not differ between the groups on day 0. Still, it increased significantly on day 15 (Figure 2,A). As a result of the DNA analysis in which the difference between the groups was evaluated, there was a significant difference in alpha diversity (p=0.017). The study data are similar to studies in the literature.

Studies report that microbial colonization varies depending on the type and amount of probiotic product, and the density of bacterial species increases. In the study of Baldassairra et al.[2018] which included four different *Lactobacillus* strains added to infant nutrition, no difference was found between the groups in total bacterial diversity and density of stool samples taken from infants. In the current study, it was observed that the beta diversity of the groups differed and was well affected by the probiotic species (p = 0.037; Figure 3-C). The control group (Figure 2,B) showed a constant spread in the existing bacterial species; the probiotic group (Figure 2,B) spread by increasing the species density between the groups.
Increasing evidence suggests that the gut microbiota may be related to colic. Studies in recent years have found higher amounts of Coliform bacteria and lower quantities of Lactobacillus in the microbiota contents of infants with colic. Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus, which are found in low amounts in the intestinal microbiota of babies with colic, increased after probiotic supplementation. A study found that the total bacteria and Lactobacillus spp levels of stool samples increased after the probiotic product was added to the diet of infants with colic.

The current study has pointed out that the amounts of Lactobacilli and bifidobacteria in the probiotic group, species diversity, and fecal molecular profile differed in the probiotic intervention. Bifidobacterium longum and Bifidobacterium bifidum increased especially in the probiotic group compared to the control group. The study data are similar to the literature, supporting the hypothesis that probiotics have an effect on the gut microbiota and may play an important role in gut bacterial composition.

The limitations of this study are that only infants with IC who applied to a hospital were included in the study, the use of an infant crying diary whose validity and reliability were not verified. Thus, the parents’ statements about the recorded crying frequency and severity were correct. Yet to minimize recall bias, mothers were asked to fill in this information every hour of the day. The exclusion of formula-fed infants from the study is another limitation. All these points limit the generalizability of this study.

The study’s strengths are that it is the first study to assess the effect of probiotics given to mothers of infants with IC on infant microbiota. Further, the analysis of 16Sr RNA and the evaluation of stool samples of infants in terms of bacterial species diversity in the pre- and post-probiotic period by solid analysis are also the study’s strengths.

The present study has included Actiregularis subsp. strain (daily supplementation) positively affected the symptoms of IC, decreased crying frequency and severity, and significantly increased bacterial community composition and diversity compared to the control group. Since a specific probiotic strain was used in this study, these findings cannot be generalized to other probiotic strains. A larger sample and double-blind placebo-controlled studies are needed to confirm that this specific strain of probiotic can be used to treat infantile colic.
Footnotes

Author contributions

AYK: Conceptualization, Methodology, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Data curation, Resources, Writing - review.

GD: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Project administration, Software Supervision, Writing - review & editing.

MO: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - review & editing.

Conflicts of interest: No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

Funding: This study received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank Istanbul University-Cerrahpaşa Scientific Research and Projects Unit for the financial support, Haseki Sultan Gazi Training and Research Hospital, and all its staff for providing the necessary facilities and support, hospital-physician DO, and all mothers who participated in the study

ORCID

Aysu Yıldız Karaahmet ORCID-0000-0003-1134-9016

Güllümser Dolgun ORCID-0000-0003-2988-9280

Metehan Özen ORCID-0000-0003-4088-3103
References


22. Youssef N, Sheik CS, Krumholz LR, Najar FZ, Roe BA, Elshahed MS. Comparison of species richness estimates obtained using nearly complete fragments and simulated pyrosequencing-generated


Table 1. Comparison of the frequency of crying on days 7 and 15 by study group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crying frequency</th>
<th>Probiotics</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Test value</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 0</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 7</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 15</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>62.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sum</strong></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05; **Chi-squared analysis (Increase, expressed as ≥50% increase in mean daily crying time [intensity greater than 5/10] expressed as duration; Decreased, expressed as ≥50% reduction in mean daily crying time [intensity less than 5/10] expressed as duration; Unchanged, average daily crying time (intensity greater than 5/10) unchanged compared to the previous day expressed as duration).
Figure legends

**Fig. 1.** Flowchart of patients considered for inclusion in the trial of probiotic supplementation for mothers of infants with infantile colic.

**Fig. 2. Alpha and beta diversity measurements of the infant fecal microbiome in the probiotic and control groups.** (A) Pairwise comparisons of alpha diversity indices (Shannon, Simpson) were performed between visits to examine specific comparisons of interest in the control group (CG; green) and probiotics group (PG; blue). Boxplots show the first and second quartiles and the whiskers extend out to the 1.5× interquartile range of the upper and lower limits. *Significant difference between a pairwise comparison of group-visit combinations (false discovery rate, p<0.05). † and ‡At days 0 and 15, respectively, the PG was significantly different from the CG (false discovery rate, p<0.05). ○ represents outliers. (B) Diversity index comparisons with microbial stool samples of infants with infantile colic. Principal component analysis profiles of bacterial composition at the operational taxonomic unit level of infants in the PG and CG to evaluate beta variations between stool samples; distance algorithm: weighted unifrac distance is used. Ellipses (yellow and blue) represent the standard deviation of all points within a cohort. Comparison of community profiles at the amplicon sequence variant level between the PG and CG on days 0 and 15: control group (CG; blue) and probiotics group (PG; yellow). Principal component analysis was performed (p=0.001).

**Fig. 3. Microbial species diversity of the probiotics versus control groups.** (A) Bacterial class profile of day 0 stool samples of the probiotics and control group infants. (B) Bacterial class profile of day 15 stool samples of the probiotics and control group infants.
Table 1: Comparison of the frequency of crying in the 7th and 15th days of the experimental and control group babies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crying Frequency</th>
<th>Probiotics</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Test Value</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>62.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p<0.05, ** X²: Chi square analysis (Increase, expressed as more than ≥50% increase in mean daily crying time (intensity greater than 5 out of 10), expressed as duration. Decreased, expressed as ≥50% reduction in mean daily crying time (intensity less than 5 out of 10), expressed as duration; Unchanged, average daily crying time (intensity greater than 5 out of 10), expressed as unchanged compared to the previous day)
Approach

- Screened/assessed for eligibility (N=120)
- Randomised (N=56)
- Informed consent provided

Allocation

- Allocated to intervention (n=18)
  - Received allocated interventions (n=18)
  - Did not received allocated interventions (Give reasons) (n=0)
- Allocated to control (n=18)
  - Received allocated control (n=18)
  - Did not received allocated interventions (Give reasons) (n=0)

Follow-up

- Lost to follow-up (Breast milk amount less than 50%) (n=1)
- Discontinued intervention (Probiotic product did not use regularly) (n=1)
- Lost to follow-up (Breast milk amount less than 50%) (n=1)
- Discontinued intervention (Drug treatment started) (n=1)

Analysis

- Analysed (n=16)
  - Excluded from analysis (Lack of data) (n=1)
- Analysed (n=16)
  - Excluded from analysis (n=0)