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Abstract

The peripartum period is both a highly vulnerable stage and a significant indicator of a population’s health status. Interest is increasing in Human T-cell leukemia virus type-1 (HTLV-1) transmission due to its adverse health impacts. However, nationally representative data on HTLV-1 that are important for health planning are unavailable for this subpopulation. This study aimed to conduct a pooled estimate of HTLV-1 prevalence among pregnant women in Nigeria to quantify its clinical burden and public health implications. This systematic review was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis 2020 statement. After a systematic review of the Nigerian literature, 12 studies (2821 pregnant or postnatal women) were included in the final evidence synthesis. The estimated HTLV-1 prevalence in Nigerian peripartum women following a positive screening test by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay was 5.44% (95% confidence interval [CI], 3.16–9.20%). A subgroup analysis of the two major regions showed a slightly higher prevalence in the Western versus Southern region (5.55% [95% CI, 2.49–11.87%]; and 4.91% [95% CI, 2.11–11.02]; P = 0.84). However, a subgroup analysis by geopolitical zone revealed that Southwestern and Northwestern Nigeria had the highest prevalence (9.23% [95% CI, 4.35–18.55; I², 93%] and 7.15% [95% CI, 1.54–27.54]; I², 92%). Our decade-old subgroup analysis found inconsistencies in the HTLV-1 prevalence.

Furthermore, our literature review revealed a prevalence of HTLV infection among patients with various clinical types of lymphomas/leukemias and myelopathy of 2–22%. These findings have important implications in defining the epidemiological patterns of HTLV-1 infection in Nigeria. They also suggest the presence of HTLV-endemic clusters near low-endemic areas, even within the same geopolitical zones.
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**Key message**

The peripartum period is an important transmission time for human T-lymphotropic virus-1 (HTLV-1) infection, mainly via breastfeeding and partly through the placental tissues of carrier mothers. Although most HTLV-1–infected individuals are asymptomatic, fetal and childhood infections often result in several diseases with disappointing treatment outcomes. An estimated HTLV-1 burden in Nigeria among perinatal women must be determined to enable rational planning of a comprehensive health care intervention.

**Graphical abstract**
Introduction

Human T lymphotropic virus (HTLV) and its subtypes were estimated to have infected 5 – 10 million people worldwide.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\) Although HTLV belongs to the primate Retroviridae Family and subfamily of Oncovirinae, unlike other retroviruses, HTLV-I subtype infection has a latency period of about 20 years. However, a few infected individuals may live with several hematopoietic malignancies and disabling neuroinvasive diseases.\(^6\) The primary disease condition caused by HTLV-I is adult T-cell leukemia (ATL) and HTLV-associated myelopathy/tropical spastic paraparesis (HAM/TSP).\(^4\)\(^-\)\(^6\) Other disease outcomes are arthritis, uveitis, dermatological lesions, pneumonitis, urinary tract disorders, and increased venerability to common infectious diseases.\(^8\)\(^-\)\(^10\) These later conditions are themselves secondary to ATL or HAM/TSP development.\(^9\) Although the development of these conditions is relatively rare, their clinical implication makes them particularly important. HTLV-I carriers are estimated to have a 2 – 7% lifetime risk of developing ATL and 0.25 – 3.8% for HAM/TSP.\(^10\) HTLV subtypes have also been isolated these include HTLV-II and more recently HTLV-II and HTLV-IV;\(^13\) however, their pathogenesis and public health implication remain unclear. In a Nigerian study, Williams et al.\(^11\) reported a 100% association with ATL and an 18% association with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma in HTLV-I carriers. Although, the full clinical spectrum of HTLV-causally associated diseases is unknown.\(^9\) Currently, available treatment option for TSP/HAM is largely disappointing, and symptomatic treatment remains the mainstay of therapy. The perinatal period represents an important route for HTLV transmission to the general population. Retrovirally infected mothers can transmit to their babies at any stage during pregnancy, childbirth, or breastfeeding.\(^1\) Therefore, mother-to-child transmission (MTCT) is an important route for the spread of HTLV. One study in Iran found a prevalence rate of 1.5% in pregnant women and a vertical transmission rate of 16.6% in children born to their carrier mothers.\(^7\) Breastfeeding is
reported to be the most imported transmission route,\textsuperscript{7} unlike other retroviruses such as HIV, which transmits infectivity even via various acellular varion media, effective viral transmission of HTLV-I majorly occurs through cell-to-cell interaction, hence intuitive that high level of HTLV-1 proviral load in milk and in blood cells as well as long duration of breastfeeding for more than six months after effective depletion of maternal protective immunity are a major representative risk factor for HTLV-1 MTCT transmission.\textsuperscript{3,7,14} The transmission rate of this route can be as high as 25\% in endemic areas and may reach 31\% in mothers co-infected with sexually transmitted diseases.\textsuperscript{1,3} A low level of education and vulnerable socioeconomic status is an additional risk factor in this route of transmission.\textsuperscript{12-14} However, there are no representative data regarding the prevalence of HTLV in the general Nigerian population and there are few geographical subgroups studied. One recent meta-analysis\textsuperscript{15} reported 3\% of a pooled sample size of 2383 of the general population including pregnant women, however, this study included only published articles using molecular signatures thereby increasing the chances of publication bias. Moreover, the included primary studies in their meta-analysis used Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) only after initial positive ELISA screening; the most common screening method known to have high sensitivity but poor specificity to the virus subtype. However, samples could escape a more rigorous assay for HTLV presence using this method.\textsuperscript{16}

Although the study of HTLV-1 prevalence in the general population is considered more representative data, outcomes from HTLV-1 prevalence among pregnant women could help guide the implementation of measures to prevent MTCT.\textsuperscript{8,17} Hence, early diagnosis and proper management during pregnancy and after childbirth may reduce mother-to-child transmission of the virus. Therefore, obtaining a national estimate of HTLV-1 prevalence in pregnant women which represents an important epidemiological link in the transmission cycle of the infection is needed to assess the possible benefits of implementing such a wide
screening program. In this paper, we estimated HTLV-1 national prevalence during the maternal perinatal period generated through a systematic review and meta-analysis of primary studies. This study is expected to inform policymakers on the contribution of maternal healthcare to the clinical and public health burden of HTLV infection.

**Methodology**

This systematic review was prepared in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) 2020 statement.\(^{(18)}\)

1. **Literature search**

We conducted searches in the following databases: PubMed, Advanced Google scholar, and Africa journals online (AJOL) databases. The search was not restricted by date or publication type. Search includes relevant terms in the title, abstract, and text, such as Human T-cell lymphotropic Virus, Pregnant Women, and Nigeria. We searched the reference lists (‘Snowball’) of the studies included in our review to identify additional publications. Additionally, we searched gray literature employing multiple strategies.

After the exclusion of duplicate citations, all authors separately reviewed the titles and abstracts of selected articles. Relevant full-text studies obtained were assessed for eligibility and risk of bias. All original articles from peer-reviewed scientific journals with a cross-sectional or survey design that estimated the prevalence of HTLV infection were considered potentially eligible for inclusion in this review. Relevant studies whose full text was not available were obtained by contacting the corresponding author via email.

2. **Inclusion and exclusion criteria**
Women eligible for inclusion in the study were expectant mothers and mothers assessing postnatal care or mother-child pair. Studies that used either Elisa and/or Western Blot assay technique for HTLV were included, in the case where their subtype was discriminated, only data for HTLV-1 was included. Data for HIV mothers and other comorbid conditions were excluded. Studies outside of Nigeria were also excluded.

3. Study selection and data extraction

Titles and abstracts of identified publications were screened independently by two reviewers (AB and BIS), and any publication deemed potentially relevant by either reviewer was carried forward to full-text evaluation. Any discordance in data interpretation between the authors was resolved through collective discussion and subsequent consensus.

4. Risk of bias assessment

The risk of bias assessment established was assessed with an adapted version of the NIH Quality Assessment Tool for Observational Cohort and Cross-sectional Studies.\(^{17}\) The overall strength of the body of evidence was assessed using the “Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation” (GRADE) tool, using the principles and domains applied in the quality assessment for prognostic studies and as modified by Vieira et al.\(^{17}\) and Salie et al.\(^{20}\) Using a quantitative scoring system, studies were characterized as being of a low (7-6), moderate (5-4), or high (≤3) risk of bias. Therefore, studies were characterized as being of a low, moderate, or high risk of bias.\(^{43,44,47}\)

5. Data analysis

The population-based HTLV prevalence from 12 studies was extracted from their raw proportions, and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated using the Wilson method.
To calculate the pooled prevalence, Logit transformation was performed in advance for the prevalence range as most of our data reported less than 0.2 or greater than 0.8 effect size estimate, hence they have become less likely to be normally distributed.\textsuperscript{21,22,25,26} For pooled data, the $I^2$ statistic was used to estimate heterogeneity and risk of bias, specifically publication bias, based on the Egger test result. $I^2$ statistic values of 50\% or more were considered to indicate substantial heterogeneity, and the random effects model using the DerSimonian-Laird estimator (DL) was used for the between variance estimator. A cumulative meta-analysis was done to evaluate the possible impact of publication year on the prevalence of HTLV by entering the older studies at the top and adding the newer studies at the bottom. The true summary proportion was later transformed back for our final output. Analyses were conducted using the functions for proportion and summary meta-analysis in R (version 4.0.2). Where there were no observed HTLV cases (zero seroprevalence), 0.5 was added to frequencies for the meta-analysis. Due to the high variability between studies; therefore, they all received similar weights in random effects meta-analysis. Forest plots were created from the results of the meta-analysis: squares represent the prevalence reported for the individual studies, square areas being proportional to the weight the study received in the meta-analysis. Horizontal lines show the 95\% confidence intervals for the prevalence.\textsuperscript{45-50} The diamond shape represents the combined prevalence estimates and the width of the diamond represents the 95\% confidence interval. The confidence intervals were calculated on the log odds scale and transformed back to the proportion scale, so they are not symmetrical.\textsuperscript{43} The data presented here were incorporated into the final analyses to give both national and subnational HTLV seroprevalence estimates.

\section*{RESULTS}

1. Study selection and characteristics
Twelve cross-sectional studies satisfied the criteria for inclusion in the qualitative and quantitative analysis of the primary studies (Supplementary figure 1). The main characteristics of the studies selected for the final analysis are shown in Table 1. The studies cover five of the total six geopolitical zones in Nigeria (Southsouth-1, Southwest-5, Southeast-1, Northcentral-3, and Northwest-2). The total number of maternal subjects in the twelve studies was 2821 out of whom 1510 (54%) were recruited in the Southwest followed by 620 (22%) recruited in the northcentral geopolitical zone.

2. Publication quality and risk of bias
The overall quality of evidence for HTLV prevalence was rated according to the NIH Quality Assessment Tool for Observational Cohort and Cross-sectional Studies, supplementary Table 1. In general, the quality of evidence is good. In the point “Was a sample size justification, power description, or variance and effect estimates provided?” the majority of the answers were ‘no’ (Supplementary Table 1), due to the fact that the included studies were observational and not designed to investigate the association, although they were more exploratory. In the point “Was the participation rate of eligible persons at least 50%?” and “Were the outcome assessors blinded to the exposure status of participants?” most studies did not report any explanation.

3. Overall prevalence
The prevalence of maternal HTLV infection among the included studies varied from 0% to 24.18%. The pooled prevalence estimate was 5.44% (95% CI: 3.16% - 9.20%, Figure 1). The measure of the pooled heterogeneity $I^2$ was 91% (P < 0.01). The prediction interval for HTLV prevalence ranged from 0.73% to 30.90% (Figure 1). Here the prediction interval
represents the range of expected maternal HTLV prevalence in healthy maternal subjects in 95% of settings.

Publication bias was obtained on Egger's testing (Egger's test, b = -4.91 (CI: -7.37, -2.45; P = 0.003, Supplementary Figure 2). The asymmetric funnel plot corroborated this result (Figure 2). In addition, the cumulative meta-analysis did not display any major change in prevalence over time (Figure 3). Hence, the publication year did not appear to impact the estimated pooled prevalence of HTLV.

4. Subgroup analysis

Given the heterogeneity observed in this study, a subgroup analysis along the geopolitical zones of Nigeria showed a higher prevalence of HTLV in the Southwest: 9.23%: (95% CI: 4.35%, 18.55%) and 7.15% (95% CI: 1.54%, 27.54%) in Northwestern Nigeria. However, the lowest prevalence was reported in the Northcentral and Southsouth geopolitical zones (Northcentral: 3.60% [95% CI: 0.99%, 12.25%]; Southsouth: 0% [95% CI: 0.00%, 1.66%] and Southeast: 0.5% [95% CI: 0.07%, 3.46%], Table 2). The prevalence of HTLV subgroup analysis using the old subregion due to their cultural similarities and less diversity in their political struggle was further explored. Results show Southern and Northern Nigeria to have a similar prevalence rate (P = 0.89). However, the pool prevalence of HTLV in southern states was highest (South: 5.55% [95% CI: 2.49%-11.87%]; North: was 4.91% (95% CI: 2.11%-11.02%), Table 2). Although Western Blot as a specificity tool and the more recent robust PCR in the analysis of HTLV are available in Nigeria, its usage is still very limited. However, a subgroup analysis of ELISA and Western Blot showed no statistical significance (P = 0.72) with the method having a significant heterogeneity score ($I^2$: 91%).

Further subgroup analysis by decade-old differences showed high variability in the prevalence of HTLV with 2010-2020 having the lowest pooled estimate of 2.90% and the
highest before 2010 and after 2020 (9.58% and 6.01% respectively). Although, studies conducted after 2020 had less heterogeneity.

5. Prevalence of HTLV-1 infection in Lymphoproliferative malignancy and myelopathy

Although evidence shows that HTLV is still endemic in Nigeria, there exists a lack of recent epidemiological incidence and prevalence data on the net clinical impact of HTLV infection. Few studies with wide heterogeneity in the diagnosis of lymphomas/leukemia and the same study centers for the prevalence site prevented us from implementing a meta-analysis on the net clinical impact of HTLV infection. Of the six studies that met the inclusion criteria of adult Lymphoproliferative malignancy and myelopathy, only one study was done on the prevalence of HTLV-1 in patients with myelopathy. The purposive study or case report was carried out at the individual state’s university teaching hospital and reported a prevalence of HTLV infection in patients with various clinical types of lymphomas/leukemias and myelopathy to range from 2%-22% with variable sample size and screening method (Table 3)

Discussion

This meta-analysis describes the magnitude and distribution of HTLV prevalence in Nigerian maternal mothers. Overall, using data from a total of 12 studies representing 2821 participants we found the prevalence of HTLV on a national scale to be arguably one of the highest in the world with important differences between regions. The prevalence of HTLV was higher in the Southwest and Northwest compared to other regions. Although, the studies included in the systematic review show restrictions to specific cities or regions and presented a high level of heterogeneity.

The pooled prevalence of HTLV was synthesized by including studies of pioneering efforts of different investigators that either used sensitivity (ELISA) or specificity/confirmatory
analysis (Western blot) in detecting HTLV. Although similar analyses\textsuperscript{15,27,40-42} have been attempted they included only two studies of maternal subjects receiving antenatal care which inadequately characterized the pool prevalence rate of HTLV in Nigerian pregnant women. Thereby underestimating the actual global public health burden of maternal HTLV prevalence in Nigeria. The reported pooled estimate of this study is similar to that reported by Olaleye et al.,\textsuperscript{51} arguably the largest retrospective seroprevalence study done to date in the general population which included blood samples from 25 different locations in Nigeria taken from 1985-1991 totaling 4153 sera. This study reported 5.6\% with a similar rate in both males and females. Although this large study was done 3 decades ago. Nonetheless, the finding from this meta-analysis suggests a steady prevalence rate of the infection. However, these findings corroborate the conclusions by Ngoma et al.,\textsuperscript{27} that prevalence in pregnant women could be a convenient sentinel and a better reflection of the general population when attempting to estimate the prevalence of viral infections. Probably due to their representative characteristics of a given region and the comparable similarities in their reproductive mean ages.

The clinical etiological implications of where HTLV-1 infection will be a necessary diagnostic criterion remain largely unclear. Characterized neurological syndromes in the tropics have been classified as tropical myeloneuropathies and grouped into tropical ataxic neuropathy or tropical spastic paraparesis.\textsuperscript{62} However, our brief review of the literature shows the involvement of HTLV-1 infection although the route of transmission could not be verified. Our included studies show a higher prevalence of leukemia with a variability of 2-5\% among lymphoblastic leukemia and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma to a higher 22\% in other malignant lymphoproliferative disorders such as Burkitt’s lymphoma. Interesting findings from this brief literature review show 100\% of patients with clinical characteristics of adult T-cell leukemia/lymphoma (ATL)/tropical spastic paraparesis and HTLV-1-associated
myelopathy (TSP/HAM) had the virus. Furthermore, the findings from this review also show the predominance of infected males as against females widely reported elsewhere. This is consistent with epidemiological studies that show the prevalence of HTLV to vary along sex and reported a higher infection rate in African men or men of African descent.\textsuperscript{59,61,62} This could suggest an etiological factor such as perinatal infectivity as against the transfusion transmission route. It is imperative to state here that excessive consumption of cassava containing cyanogenic glycosides is a major etiological factor associated with myelopathy, especially tropical ataxic neuropathies in southern states of Nigeria with the highest consumption as compared to the northern regions where zero studies have been reported.\textsuperscript{62} Thus, this could complicate the course of disease progression and the incidence of myelopathy.

However, subgroup analysis according to geopolitical zones indicates that Southwestern Nigeria has the highest prevalence rate. Our findings are contrary to an earlier report by Flemings et al.\textsuperscript{52} who showed a higher prevalence in the Northwest as compared to Southsouth and an intermediary prevalence rate in the southwest. However, the absence of studies from the Northeast, further compounded by the 0% prevalence rate reported in Southsouth, and 0.5% of the Southsouth states of Nigeria contributed to the significant heterogeneity observed in these studies. Although a similar prevalence rate in the general population has been reported,\textsuperscript{15,38} these observations might be an absence of proof due to limited studies and sample size rather than proof of absence. This sub-national variation in HTLV prevalence has important implications for efforts to bring the infection under control, especially as it relates to the prevention of the number of new cases and future treatment options. Nevertheless, this meta-analysis demonstrates the need for adequate characterization of HTLV geographic distribution across the states in Nigeria.
Two plausible reasons could have accounted for differences in the gradient of seropositivity along the calendar year. Firstly, the incidence of HTLV has been associated with other infectious diseases such as HIV, and that screening of blood replacement donation by family members; a veritable channel of infectivity was not implemented until the early ’90s, hence transfusion transmission has been common. Secondly, inter-species transmission from non-human primates to humans due to their close interaction and practices in the southern part of Nigeria, and pathological similarities in the context of disease manifestations seen in STLV-1-infected gorillas, baboons, macaques, and African green monkeys have been described,4,23,24) suggesting zoonotic and/or evolutionary transmission. Phylogenetic studies have also reported HTLV-1 concordance with simian T-cell leukemia virus type 1 (STLV-1).23)

The risk of hyperendemicity in these epidemiologically unique populations of perinatal carrier mothers and transmission to entire populations reinforces the importance of public health interventions for HTLV control. The results obtained from this study will contribute to the existing data and body of knowledge on the prevalence of HTLV as screening mothers before and after delivery could serve as an intermediate intervention strategy with long time benefits.

Most epidemiologic data are based on serologic studies rather than on molecular typing due to the low replicating nature of the HTLV virus.4) However, Idris et al.,16) have shown the number of positivity to HTLV virus to be higher when PCR is used alone as compared to ELISA and then PCR for confirmation/discrimination. Similar systematic reviews and meta-analyses of HTLV prevalence studies have used confirmatory tests mostly done in high-resource settings with access to more advanced Line Immunoassay and PCR.17) It is worth noting that confirmatory PCR tests started to be utilized in 2014 according to our subgroup analysis by test type. Nevertheless, the use of PCR as a method to confirm and discriminate
HTLV-1 and -2 might lead to higher prevalence estimates, due to an increase in sensitivity/specificity.\textsuperscript{16,17} The positivity rates of HTLV using the confirmatory method is now ranged to be 0.067\% to 0.663\% in Japan, the once highest HTLV endemic region of the world.\textsuperscript{54} Furthermore, a recent meta-analysis estimated the prevalence in pregnant Brazilian mothers to be 0.32\% and 0.04\% for HTLV-1 and -2 respectively.\textsuperscript{17} While prevalence is decreasing in once endemic areas of the world with several government measures, it remains stable in long-known endemic regions such as Nigeria. Possible reasons include: HTLV known to be transmitted from their simian reservoir (STLV), could have been acquired in addition to known route through non-human primates (bites/hunting/butchering pets), through the use of unsterile instrumentation during female genital mutilation and tattooing/piercing/tribal mark. Others include bush-meat hunting which is widely practiced across Nigerian regions, though to a varying degree.\textsuperscript{53} Therefore, a well design mechanistic study will be required to elucidate the predominant mode of transmission responsible for the stable transmission rate and the variations we observed in the studied area.

The fact that these prevalence estimates were calculated through meta-analysis of individual primary studies and not direct products of proper national or regional surveillance systems raises the possibility of a significant underestimation of the true burden of HTLV infection in Nigeria.

Compared to other infections among pregnant women, HTLV-1 appears to have an intermediary prevalence rate to HIV (1.4\%)\textsuperscript{55} and hepatitis B virus infection (9.5\%).\textsuperscript{56} While there is an established national surveillance program for the last two, there is no national screening for HTLV. The similarity in prevalence could reflect either true differences in estimates or a lack of nationwide information.

It is, however, worthy of note that this study does not, in any way, underestimate the fact that only a large representative rigorous national epidemiological study conducted at the same
time can give a more reliable overall prevalence of HTLV in Nigeria. However, in the absence of such a national survey, a meta-analysis of all the observational studies cutting across most, if not all, the geopolitical zones of Nigeria, provides the best evidence and, hence, could be of use in developing prevention strategies and planning future treatment options in Nigeria.

The included studies did not cover all the states in the country, offering limited estimates of HTLV for each region. Moreover, regions also differed in the number and sample size of the included studies, leading to high within and between-group heterogeneity.

In conclusion, owing to the selective maintenance of certain clones subsequent to initiation of leukemogenesis, majorly caused by the stable nature of long-term carriers infected during infancy, interventions such as screening, counseling, and educating high-risk individuals and populations at large are morally compelling and have the potential to decrease the spread of this burdensome, potentially deadly, blood-borne virus.
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**Table 1. Characteristics of the included studies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author and Year</th>
<th>Subregion</th>
<th>Study Population</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Age (mean)</th>
<th>Age (range)</th>
<th>htlv 1/2</th>
<th>ScrMethod</th>
<th>ConMethod</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Olaleye et al., 1999 [29]</td>
<td>Southwest</td>
<td>Postpartum women in south-western Nigeria identified using blood samples collected in 1993</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>20-40</td>
<td>20-40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Westerblot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbi and Odetunde 2007 [30]</td>
<td>Southwest</td>
<td>Prevalence of HTLV infection amongst two highly sexually active groups, pregnant women and CSWs in South Western Nigeria.</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>14-30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okoye et al.,</td>
<td>Southwest</td>
<td>Seroprevalence of HTLV-1 antibodies and associated risk</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>28.94</td>
<td>26-30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Westerblot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Study Region</td>
<td>Study Description</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Disease Test</td>
<td>Age Range</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>HIV Test</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014 [31]</td>
<td></td>
<td>factors for HTLV-1 infection among pregnant women in University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital, Enugu, Southeast Nigeria.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abu et al., 2014 [32]</td>
<td>Northwest</td>
<td>Pregnant women attending antenatal clinic in Giwa general hospital</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>63.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iyalla et al., 2015 [33]</td>
<td>Southsouth</td>
<td>Pregnant women attending the Braithwaite Memorial Specialist Hospital (BMSH) and University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital (UPTH).</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>84.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opaleye et al., 2016 [34]</td>
<td>Southwest</td>
<td>Pregnant women attending antenatal clinic in Ladoke Akintola University Teaching Hospital, Osogbo, and South-Western Nigeria.</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15-49</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>62.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Region</td>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>Mean Age (Range)</td>
<td>Follow-up Duration</td>
<td>Test Used</td>
<td>Additional Notes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Udeze et al., 2018</td>
<td>Northcentral</td>
<td>Serological detection of human T-cell lymphotropic virus types I and II among pregnant women in Ilorin, Nigeria</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>16-40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hananiya et al., 2019</td>
<td>Northwest</td>
<td>Prevalence of HTLV antibodies as well as the socio-demographic and risk factors associated with HTLV among women attending postnatal clinics in Zaria</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15-45</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olusola et al., 2019</td>
<td>Southwest</td>
<td>Prevalence of HTLV I and II infection amongst three sexually active populations comprising of pregnant women, adults and teenagers as well as sexually transmitted infections (STI) clinic attendees</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dangana et al., 2021</td>
<td>Northcentral</td>
<td>Seroprevalence and risk factors of HTLV-1/-2 infections among</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18-48</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Region</td>
<td>Method</td>
<td>Outcome Description</td>
<td>Sample Size</td>
<td>Positive Rate (%)</td>
<td>Cutoff Value</td>
<td>Method</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nkup et al., 2021 [39]</td>
<td>Northcentral</td>
<td>Detecting antibodies to HTLV-I/II among pregnant women on antenatal visits to selected hospitals in Jos, Nigeria</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**conMethod**, confirmatory method; **ELISA**, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; **HTLV -1/-2**, human T-lymphotropic virus type -1/-2; **scrMethod**, screening method
Table 2. Sub-group analysis of the pooled prevalence of HTLV infection in Nigeria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-group variables</th>
<th>Variable category</th>
<th>Included studies</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Prevalence % (95% CI)</th>
<th>$I^2$ %</th>
<th>$p$-heterogeneity</th>
<th>$p$-difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subregion</td>
<td>Southwest</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1310</td>
<td>9.23 (4.35-18.55)</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Southeast</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.5 (0.01-2.75)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Northwest</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>7.15 (1.54-27.54)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Southsouthern</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0-1.66)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>Northcentral</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>3.60 (0.99-12.25)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1731</td>
<td>5.55 (2.49-11.87)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>North</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1096</td>
<td>4.91 (2.11-11.02)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publication Year</td>
<td>&lt;2010</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>944</td>
<td>9.58 (4.58-18.92)</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010-2020</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1533</td>
<td>2.90 (1.02-7.98)</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;2021</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>6.01 (1.86-17.74)</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval; HTLV-1/-2, human T-lymphotropic virus type-1/-2
Table 3. Cohort studies on prevalence of HTLV-1 infection in lymphoproliferative malignancy and myelopathy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>First author and Year</th>
<th>Study Population</th>
<th>HTLV associated diagnosis</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>HTLV-1/2+</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Age(mean)</th>
<th>ScrMethod</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Williams et al., 1984 [57]</td>
<td>Human T cell leukaemia virus associated lymphoproliferative disease: report of two cases in Nigeria</td>
<td>Malignant lymphoproliferative disorder (1 adult T cell leukaemia, 1 chronic lymphocytic leukaemia)</td>
<td>Ibadan</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>enzyme-linked immunoassay (ELISA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Flemming et al., 1986 [58]</td>
<td>Antibodies to HTLV-I in Nigerian blood-donors, their relatives and patients with leukaemias, lymphomas and other diseases</td>
<td>4 (20.0%) of 20 patients with chronic lymphatic leukaemia, 3 (10.0%) of 30 with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, one of 12 with Burkitt's lymphoma and one of 7 with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia</td>
<td>Zaria-Kaduna/Maiduguri/Calabar/Lagos</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Study Title</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Study Size</td>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Christopher et al., 1993</td>
<td>Frequency of adult t-cell leukemia/lymphoma and HTLV-1 in Ibadan</td>
<td>Ibadan</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>enzyme-linked immunoassay (ELISA)/Confirmatory Western blot test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>non-Burkitt's non-Hodgkin's lymphoma patients (acute lymphoblastic leukemia-II morphology)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Olaleye et al., 1996</td>
<td>Human T-cell lymphotropic virus types I and II infections in patients with leukaemia/lymphoma and in subjects with sexually transmitted diseases in Nigeria</td>
<td>Ibadan</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>commercial enzyme immunoassays (EIA/polymerase chain reaction (PCR) technique</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Leukaemia/lymphoma ((acute lymphoblastic leukaemia-II morphology)</td>
<td></td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Olaleye et al., 1998</td>
<td>Detection of HTLV-1 Antibodies and DNA in Blood Sample of a Patient with Progressive loss of tone to the two lower limbs and later inability to walk</td>
<td>Ibadan</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25-50 Abbott HTLV-1 EIA and Coulter SELECT-HTLV I/II)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Myelopathy in Nigeria</td>
<td>Seroprevalence of human T-lymphotropic Virus antibodies among patients with lymphoid malignancies at a tertiary center in Lagos, Nigeria</td>
<td>One of the patients was a case of non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma, while the other was a case of chronic lymphocytic leukemia</td>
<td>Lagos</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Akinbami et al., 2014 [62]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; HTLV-1/-2, human T-lymphotropic virus type-1/-2; scrMethod, screening method
Figure legends

**Fig. 1.** Prevalence of HTLV-1/2 in perinatal healthy women in Nigeria was determined through a meta-analysis of the included studies. The squares represent each individual study’s prevalence with its area being proportional to the weight the study received in the meta-analysis. The horizontal lines show the 95% CI. The diamonds represent the combined estimates for each region, with their widths representing the 95% CI. CI, confidence interval; HTLV-1/2, human T-lymphotropic virus type-1/2
Fig. 2. Graphic representation of publication bias using funnel plot of the included studies.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Events per 100 observations</th>
<th>Events</th>
<th>95%-CI</th>
<th>Tau2</th>
<th>Tau</th>
<th>I²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adding Osaleye et al., 1995 (k=1)</td>
<td>11.54</td>
<td>[8.64; 15.25]</td>
<td>0.5160</td>
<td>0.7184</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adding Osaleye et al., 1999 (k=2)</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td>[0.01; 98.44]</td>
<td>0.4418</td>
<td>0.6647</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adding Forbi and Odeumde 2007 (k=3)</td>
<td>9.58</td>
<td>[1.59; 40.95]</td>
<td>0.6672</td>
<td>0.7792</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adding Okoye et al., 2014 (k=4)</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>[0.09; 37.66]</td>
<td>0.6072</td>
<td>0.7792</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adding Abu et al., 2014 (k=5)</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>[2.32; 27.19]</td>
<td>0.4112</td>
<td>0.6412</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adding Iyalla et al., 2015 (k=6)</td>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>[1.76; 24.95]</td>
<td>0.4947</td>
<td>0.7033</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adding Opaleye et al., 2016 (k=7)</td>
<td>8.84</td>
<td>[2.56; 26.33]</td>
<td>0.5637</td>
<td>0.7508</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adding Udeze et al., 2018 (k=8)</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>[1.95; 20.90]</td>
<td>0.7101</td>
<td>0.8427</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adding Hanansya et al., 2019 (k=9)</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>[2.04; 16.84]</td>
<td>0.7621</td>
<td>0.8730</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adding Olusola et al., 2019 (k=10)</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>[1.76; 14.40]</td>
<td>0.6290</td>
<td>0.9105</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adding Dangana et al., 2021 (k=11)</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>[2.24; 14.13]</td>
<td>0.7305</td>
<td>0.8547</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adding Nkup et al., 2021 (k=12)</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>[2.29; 12.38]</td>
<td>0.7634</td>
<td>0.8738</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 3.** Cumulative meta-analysis by publication year.