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ABSTRACT

Intussusception involves an invagination of the proximal bowel into the distal bowel, with ileocolic intussusception being the most common type. However, a diagnostic delay can lead to intestinal ischemia, bowel infarction, or even death; therefore, its early diagnosis and management are important. The primary role of abdominal radiography is to detect pneumoperitoneum or high-grade bowel obstruction in cases of suspected intussusception, and ultrasonography is the modality of choice for its diagnosis. Non-operative enema reduction, the treatment of choice for childhood intussusception in cases without signs of perforation or peritonitis, can be safely performed with a success rate of 82%. Enema reduction can be performed in various ways according to image guidance method (fluoroscopy or ultrasonography) and reduction medium (liquid or air). Successful enema reduction is less likely to be achieved in children with a longer symptom duration, younger age, lethargy, fever, bloody diarrhea, unfavorable radiologic findings (small bowel obstruction, trapped fluid, ascites, absence of flow in the intussusception, intussusception in the left-sided colon), and pathological lead points. This review highlights the current concepts of intussusception diagnosis, nonsurgical enema reduction, success rates, predictors of failed enema reduction, complications, and recurrence to guide general pediatricians in the management of childhood intussusception.
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Graphical abstract

Key message

Intussusception, the most common cause of small bowel obstruction in young children, has an overall incidence in Korea of 28.3 cases per 100,000 person-years. Its cause is idiopathic in most cases, although viral or bacterial gastroenteritis has been postulated as a cause. Approximately 4% of children have pathological lead points for intussusception, and Meckel’s diverticulum is the most common cause. Intussusception in preterm infants is extremely rare. Older children (>5 years of age) are at increased risk of pathological lead points. Ultrasonography, the modality of choice for diagnosing intussusception, features high accuracy, no radiation exposure, and easy accessibility. Among the current enema reduction methods, pneumatic reduction under fluoroscopic guidance is most widely used because it is faster, is less expensive, and achieves a higher success rate (83%) than barium enemas. Hydrostatic enema reduction using saline under ultrasonographic guidance is increasingly used due to
comparable success rates (73–86%) and low perforation (1%) by experienced providers and no radiation. Point-of-care ultrasound performed by trained pediatric emergency physicians was demonstrated feasible in the bedside diagnosis of intussusception. However, more research is needed on its clinical outcomes, optimal training curriculum, and cost-effectiveness. Other approaches to diagnosing intussusception include deep learning–based algorithms using abdominal radiographs. External validation of deep learning–based algorithms with large datasets from multiple institutions is necessary to enable further application of the model in clinical practice.
Epidemiology and pathogenesis

Intussusception involves invagination of the proximal bowel into the distal bowel. Ileocolic intussusception is the most common type, comprising more than 80% of cases, while other types include ileoileocolic, enteroenteric, and rarely colocolic intussusceptions\(^1\). Intussusception typically occurs in infants and toddlers aged 3–36 months old\(^2\)\(^-\)\(^5\). The classic clinical presentation is abdominal pain, vomiting, bloody stools, and abdominal mass\(^6\), but it occurs in only 20% of cases, and most children do not show the complete symptom triad (abdominal pain, vomiting, and bloody stool)\(^7\). The clinical presentation is also age-related; younger children are more likely to experience vomiting, irritability, lethargy, or bloody stools, while older children are more likely to present with abdominal pain\(^4\). All of these features should warrant a high level of clinical suspicion for intussusception to prevent missing the diagnosis in children with nonspecific symptoms.

According to a nationwide epidemiological study in 2008–2016, the incidence of intussusception in children up to 2 years of age was reportedly 196.7 cases per 100,000 in Korea\(^3\). The overall incidence of intussusception was 28.3 cases per 100,000 person-years in Korea in 2007–2017 (Table 1)\(^5\). Intussusception in preterm infants is extremely rare\(^8\). According to a systematic review of 24 premature neonates, intussusception is easily confused with necrotizing enterocolitis, the most prevalent diagnosis in preterm infants with abdominal symptomatology; therefore, the accurate diagnosis of intussusception in preterm infants tends to be delayed. In preterm infants, the intussusception usually is located in the small bowel (91%), unlike in infants and children, and a pathological lead point is infrequent. Intussusception is idiopathic in most cases without an identifiable lead point, except the hyperplastic lymphoid tissue in the terminal ileum\(^1\). Previous studies reported seasonal
variations\textsuperscript{3,9,10} or no clear seasonal pattern\textsuperscript{11,12}. Viral or bacterial gastroenteritis was postulated as a causative factor of intussusception\textsuperscript{10,13-15}. Enteric and non-enteric types of adenovirus infection had significant associations in multiple studies, being reported in approximately 30–40\% of cases\textsuperscript{16-18}.

Yoo et al. recently investigated the incidence of monthly visits for intussusception from seven hospitals in Korea in 2017–2020\textsuperscript{19}. Interestingly, the incidence of monthly visits has substantially reduced (9.0 to 3.5) after the active implementation of the coronavirus 2019 infection control guidelines initiated in Korea. The review of open data from the Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency revealed that the incidence of infectious diseases in children significantly decreased in the same period, supporting the hypothesis that viral infection is a cause of intussusception.

The prevalence of pathological lead points has is reportedly 0.3–20\%\textsuperscript{20}. In a meta-analysis, the pooled proportion of pathologic lead points was 4\% in children with intussusception, and Meckel’s diverticulum was the most common cause\textsuperscript{21}. Other pathological lead points included duplication cyst, polyp, lymphoma, and lymphoid hyperplasia\textsuperscript{21}. The incidence of pathologic lead points increases in children older than the typical age groups (>5 years)\textsuperscript{20,22}. Children with multiple episodes of recurrent intussusception are at an increased risk of pathologic lead points\textsuperscript{22,23} despite most with recurrences not having a pathologic lead point\textsuperscript{23}.

**Imaging Diagnosis**

The role of imaging in the diagnosis of intussusception is well established. In cases of suspected intussusception, abdominal radiographs should be evaluated through abdominal
supine, erect (preferred when the children’s condition allows), or left decubitus (for young children unable to be placed erect) views. Soft tissue masses along the course of the colon and the absence of large bowel gas in the right iliac fossa are the most specific signs of intussusception (Figure 1). Interestingly, deep learning–based algorithms applied in recent studies were demonstrated as feasible for screening pediatric ileocolic intussusception using abdominal radiographs (Table 2). Nevertheless, given the low diagnostic accuracy (40% including equivocal cases) for intussusception, the primary role of abdominal radiographs is to detect pneumoperitoneum or high-grade bowel obstruction. Pneumoperitoneum on radiographs, peritonitis, and shock are contraindications for non-operative enema reduction. Children with contraindications to enema reduction should be treated surgically. The presence of small bowel obstruction on abdominal radiographs was associated with failed enema reduction in previous studies, although it is not a contraindication for non-operative enema reduction.

Ultrasonography is the modality of choice for diagnosing intussusception. Previous studies have verified the high sensitivity (97.9–98.5%) and specificity (97.8–100%) of ultrasonography, along with high negative predictive value (99.7%) for ruling out intussusception. The advantages of ultrasonography include a lack of radiation, easy accessibility, cost-effectiveness, and the ability to find a pathologic lead point mass or establish alternative diagnoses. Typical ultrasound findings of intussusception include the target sign (transverse view) or pseudo-kidney sign (longitudinal view), corresponding to the intussusceptum and surrounding hyperechoic mesenteric fat within the intussuscipiens (Figure 2). Several studies have reported promising results for point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS) performed by trained pediatric emergency physicians with excellent sensitivity (100%) and
good specificity (94–95%) (Table 2). POCUS might be helpful in screening for suspected intussusception and ruling out non-intussusception in children early at the bedside, especially in cases of atypical or vague clinical presentation.

Computed tomography (CT) is not commonly used to diagnose intussusception because of its higher radiation dose than ultrasound. However, the use of CT can be considered for the diagnosis of intussusception in children with atypical presentation including older age, unusual site, and/or suspected pathologic lead point due to a focal mass or underlying systemic disease, such as Peutz-Jeghers syndrome.

**Current methods of enema reduction**

Non-operative enema reduction is the treatment of choice for childhood intussusception unless there are signs of perforation or peritonitis. Non-operative enema reduction can be performed according to the methods of image guidance (fluoroscopy or ultrasonography) and reduction medium type (liquid or air) (Table 3). Procedural approaches depend primarily on the experience and preference of pediatric radiologists and availability of resources. Among them, pneumatic reduction under fluoroscopic guidance is the most widely used. In the past, barium was widely used as a liquid reduction medium when enema reduction was initiated; however, over time, air has become the contrast of choice for enema reduction. One of the reasons for this is the possibility of barium staining the peritoneal cavity in cases of perforation, which makes surgical management more difficult and negatively impacts patients’ postoperative recovery. Moreover, pneumatic reduction is clearer, less radiating, faster, and less expensive than liquid enema.

The basic principle of enema reduction is to move back the intussusception through the
ileocecal valve by the intracolonic pressure of the reduction medium regardless of type. Before starting pneumatic enema reduction, a manometer is connected to a rectal tube to monitor the insufflation pressure. The pressure is cautiously monitored because it commonly fluctuates during insufflation and a child’s crying. The recommended upper limit of intraluminal pressure is 120 mmHg\textsuperscript{24}. The signs of successful enema reduction include disappearance of the soft tissue mass and rapid reflux of air into the distal small bowel (Figure 3)\textsuperscript{24}. A residual filling defect can indicate incomplete reduction of intussusception or a pathologic lead point but can also occur to the edematous ileocecal valve. In this case, ultrasonography was helpful in identifying the cause of a residual filling defect after pneumatic enema reduction (Figure 4)\textsuperscript{45}. There is no strict rule regarding the number of enema attempts, and it remains at the discretion of operators as well as clinical aspects of patients, such as age or high-grade bowel obstruction. However, the generally accepted rule is “three attempts of 3 min” in the same position, and successful enema reduction is less likely to be achieved if improvement does not occur after three separate attempts\textsuperscript{24,29}.

Hydrostatic enema reduction (commonly using saline) under ultrasonographic guidance has been increasingly used based on comparable success rates of 73–86\% and low perforation rate (1\%) by experienced providers, which has the advantage of avoiding radiation exposure\textsuperscript{46-49}. Under ultrasonography guidance, liquid is preferred over air because of its more easily recognizable contrast to small bowel gas, which is contained at the intussusception site and interferes with sonographic visualization\textsuperscript{29}. In this technique, saline is infused into the colon by gravity with a saline bag suspended approximately 3 feet above the table\textsuperscript{46-48}. Successful reduction was confirmed by the disappearance of the intussusception mass and reflux of saline from the cecum to the terminal ileum through the ileocecal valve under ultrasonographic
Most small bowel intussusception cases involve a transient invagination and are likely to be reduced spontaneously, and the children can be managed with conservative observation without any intervention\textsuperscript{(50)}. Follow-up ultrasonography confirms a spontaneous reduction. Non-operative reduction is generally unsuccessful in the treatment of small bowel intussusception, and enema reduction is not recommended except in certain conditions of concomitant ileocolic or ileoileal intussusception occurring near the ileocecal valve\textsuperscript{(51)}. Previous studies reported that small bowel intussusceptions involving the long segment of the bowel are associated with the need for surgical intervention\textsuperscript{(51,52)}. Surgical reduction is warranted for persistent small bowel intussusception in symptomatic patients, and focal lead points are often observed in such cases\textsuperscript{(52)}. In cases of colocolic intussusceptions, children can be initially managed with enema reduction as in the ileocolic type\textsuperscript{(53)}.

**Success rate of enema reduction**

Non-operative enema reduction is effective for children without contraindications, and a recent meta-analysis of more than 40,000 cases reported a pooled success rate of 82\%\textsuperscript{(21)}. Moreover, a previous meta-analysis of studies published between the 1970s and the 2010s including 32,451 children showed higher success rates with air versus liquid (barium, water, saline, or iodinated contrast material) enema (82.7\% vs. 69.6\%) with similar perforation rates (0.39\% vs. 0.43\%)\textsuperscript{(54)}.

A delayed repeat enema is recommended when the first attempt partially reduces the intussusception and if the patient shows stable vital signs and no signs of peritonitis, which can reduce the number of children requiring surgery\textsuperscript{(20)}. The optimal time gap between enema
attempts has not been established, but practitioners commonly wait for 15 min to a few hours\textsuperscript{41}. The postulated mechanism is that partial reduction of the intussusception on the first attempt improves venous drainage and reduces bowel wall edema in residual intussusception\textsuperscript{55}. The reported success rate of delayed repeat enema is approximately 50\% in the children with a failed initial enema reduction\textsuperscript{55,56}.

Intussusception recurs in approximately 9–15\% of cases after successful enema reduction\textsuperscript{5,23,57}. Approximately one-half of recurrences are early, within the first few days after enema reduction, but it can also occur over several months or years\textsuperscript{23,58}. Several studies have reported that older age (>1 year) at the initial presentation with intussusception is associated with an increased risk of recurrence\textsuperscript{59-61}. Multiple recurrences are not a contraindication for non-operative reduction, and enema reduction remains an effective primary treatment in children with hemodynamically stable and recurrent intussusception. A previous study reported a high success rate (95\%) of enema reduction in 113 recurrence cases\textsuperscript{23}. The chances of pathologic lead points increase in children with multiple recurrences (14–19\% in more than one episode)\textsuperscript{22,23}, therefore, a careful search for possible pathological lead points should be conducted through imaging studies. Surgical management is indicated for children with recurrent intussusception if it is irreducible by enema reduction or pathologic lead points are documented through imaging studies\textsuperscript{23}.

Complication of enema reduction

The rare but most important complication of enema reduction, bowel perforation, is reported in approximately 0.4\% of cases of air and liquid enemas\textsuperscript{54}. These causes are attributed to bowel wall necrosis\textsuperscript{62} and technical factors of high enema pressure\textsuperscript{44}. In cases of perforation
during an air enema, there is a possibility of tension pneumoperitoneum and hemodynamic compromise, and emergent paracentesis should be conducted in the midline supraumbilical location\textsuperscript{24,29,63}. Immediate surgical exploration is required to minimize peritoneal contamination by bowel contents\textsuperscript{63}.

**Predictors of enema reduction failure**

Many researchers have studied predictors of failed enema reduction. Successful enema reduction is less likely to be achieved in children with a longer symptom duration before presentation, younger age, unfavorable symptoms (lethargy, bloody diarrhea), radiologic findings (small bowel obstruction, trapped fluid between the intussusceptum and intussusceptor [Figures 5, 6], ascites, or absence of flow in the intussusception), pathological lead points, and distant location of the intussusception (Table 4)\textsuperscript{5,31,64-67}. A recent meta-analysis confirmed that clinical features of age < 1 year, fever, rectal bleeding, and vomiting, and ultrasonographic features of ascites, left-sided intussusception, and trapped fluid between intussuscepted bowel walls were significantly associated with enema reduction failure, while a shorter symptom duration (<24 hours) and abdominal pain were associated with success\textsuperscript{21}. Enlarged mesenteric lymph nodes and palpable masses were not significantly associated with enema reduction failure.

This study also provided a meta-regression analysis according to technical factors of enema reduction and revealed that sedation was not a significant factor of success rate heterogeneity among studies. A few studies advocate use of sedatives such as midazolam, diazepam, or propofol to reduce patient discomfort and improve success rate via smooth muscle relaxation, with reported success rates of 73–92%\textsuperscript{68-70}. However, it also has disadvantages such
as unpredictable response and the need for experienced medical staff who can monitor and resuscitate the patient during the procedure\(^{71}\). Sedation can also prevent the Valsalva maneuver in children, which is helpful for reduction by increasing intracolonic pressure, thereby leading to successful reduction as well as diminishing the transmural pressure gradient and protecting against perforation\(^{72}\). The risks and benefits of sedation during enema reduction remain uncertain, and its use depends on the provider’s preference\(^{29}\).

The suggested predictors of enema reduction failure are related to possible bowel ischemia but do not necessarily indicate contraindications for non-operative enema reduction\(^{29}\). Given the high success rate and low complication (perforation) rate of non-operative enema reduction, practitioners can attempt enema reduction as the primary initial treatment when negative predictors are present in a hemodynamically stable child but should consider predictors to avoid high-pressure enema, warning parents of the possibility of failed enema or preparing them for surgical reduction in cases of failure.

**Conclusion**

Many children with intussusception do not show a complete symptom triad, and a high level of clinical suspicion is necessary to not miss the diagnosis in children with nonspecific symptoms. The incidence of pathological lead points increases in older children with multiple episodes of recurrent intussusception. Pneumoperitoneum on radiographs, peritonitis, and shock are contraindications for non-operative enema reduction; these children should be treated surgically. Ultrasonography is the reliable modality of choice for the diagnosis of intussusception, with high sensitivity and specificity and the ability to establish alternative diagnoses. Pneumatic reduction under fluoroscopic guidance is the most widely used and
effective method of non-operative enema reduction, with a high success rate and low perforation rate. Hydrostatic enema reduction under ultrasonographic guidance has been increasingly used because of comparable success rates and low perforation rates, with the major advantage of avoiding radiation exposure. It is helpful to recognize the predictors of enema reduction failure described in the previous literature, although providers can attempt enema reduction as the primary initial treatment in a hemodynamically stable child. A delayed repeat enema is recommended when the first attempt partially reduces the intussusception and the patient shows stable vital signs and no signs of peritonitis. Non-operative enema reduction remains an effective primary treatment for recurrent intussusception. In cases of multiple recurrence, meticulous evaluation should be performed to identify possible pathological lead points.
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Table 1. Summary of epidemiology of intussusception

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prevalence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>● Highest prevalence in patients aged between 3 and 36 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● The overall incidence was 28.3/100,000 person-years in children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Most cases (83%) occurred in patients &lt; 3 years old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Seasonal differences are controversial</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>● Idiopathic in most cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Recent viral infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Recent bacterial gastroenteritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Pathologic lead points (Meckel’s diverticulum (m/c), duplication cyst, polyp, lymphoma)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Special consideration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>● Preterm: extremely rare, easily confused with necrotizing enterocolitis, usually located in small bowel (91%), infrequent pathologic lead point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Older age group (&gt; 5 years of age): higher incidence of pathologic lead points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Effect of coronavirus disease (COVID-19): substantial reduction of incidence of monthly visits (9.0 vs. 3.5) after the active implementation of COVID-19 infection control guidelines initiated in South Korea</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Newer approaches to imaging diagnosis of intussusception

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Advantage</th>
<th>Future research directions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS)&lt;sup&gt;36,38,39,73&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>POCUS is highly sensitive (94.9%) and specific (99.1%) for the detection of intussusception for children presenting to the emergency department (ED). Implementation of POCUS for clinically nonspecific intussusception leaded to a shorter median ED length of stay, door-to-reduction time, and observation time.</td>
<td>POCUS could streamline the ED workflow of clinically low risk of intussusception and unnecessary referrals for ultrasound.</td>
<td>Establishment of the optimal training curriculum; standardized ultrasound scanning protocols to minimize variation; usefulness of POCUS in correlation with clinical outcome; cost-effectiveness of POCUS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep-learning based algorithms using abdominal radiographs&lt;sup&gt;27,28&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>The sensitivity of the YOLOv3-based algorithm was higher compared with that of the radiologists (0.76 vs. 0.46), while specificity was not different (0.96 vs. 0.92). The external test values were 0.811 to 0.895 for the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (sensitivity, 0.651-0.947; specificity, 0.863-0.961).</td>
<td>Deep learning could help screen children who need US or referral to other hospitals without being influenced by the level of experience in pediatric radiographs</td>
<td>Further external validation with large datasets and multiple institutions to explore clinical outcome; technical improvement in deep learning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
specificity, 0.485-0.842).
Table 3. Advantages and disadvantages of different enema reduction methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reduction medium</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Fluoroscopy-guided pneumatic enema reduction** | Higher success rate than liquid enema  
Reach higher intracolonic bowel pressure  
Most widely used in current practice  
Clearer, lower in radiation, faster, and less expensive, compared to liquid enema | Exposure to ionizing radiation  
Only demonstrate bowel lumen |
| **Fluoroscopy guided barium enema reduction** | Easy to identify achievement of enema reduction | Possibility of barium staining in peritoneal cavity in case of perforation  
Exposure to ionizing radiation  
Can be messy due to coming out of contrast from anus  
Only demonstrate bowel lumen |
| **Ultrasound-guided hydrostatic enema reduction** | Lack of exposure to ionizing radiation  
Direct real-time visualization of intussusception and monitoring reduction process  
May detect pathologic lead point  
Reported high success rate and low perforation rate similar to | Require an on-call operator being familiar with this technique  
Increased risk of spillage of fecal contents into peritoneal cavity in case of perforation  
Questionable easiness of detecting cases complicated by perforation during reduction |
| other methods by skilled operators | Can be messy due to coming out of contrast from anus |
Table 4. Predictors of unsuccessful enema reduction\textsuperscript{5,21,31,64-67)}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lethargy</th>
<th>Bloody diarrhea</th>
<th>Fever</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiologic findings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathologic lead points</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure legends

Fig. 1. Erect abdominal radiograph of a 22-month-old boy with a 6-h history of cyclic abdominal pain shows a soft tissue mass (arrow) within the transverse colon due to the head of the intussusception. There are no signs of pneumoperitoneum or small bowel obstruction.

Fig. 2. Ultrasonography images of the right upper quadrant of a 35-month-old boy with a 1-day history of cyclic abdominal pain. Transverse (A) and longitudinal (B) images show intussusciptens (black solid arrow) containing the intussusceptum (white dashed arrow) as well as mesenteric fat and a lymph node (arrowhead). These classic appearances are called target and pseudokidney signs on the transverse and longitudinal image, respectively. Color Doppler transverse image (C) showing the same structures with intact vascularity.

Fig. 3. Fluoroscopy-guided air enema reduction of ileocolic intussusception in a 4-year-old boy with a 1-day history of abdominal pain. (A) Fluoroscopic spot film showing a filling defect (arrow) within the hepatic flexure of colon caused by the head of the intussusception. (B) The intussusception (arrow) is reduced back to the ileocecal valve. (C) Disappearance of the soft tissue mass and reflux of air into the distal small bowel indicates successful enema reduction.

Fig. 4. (A) After fluoroscopy-guided air enema reduction of ileocolic intussusception in a 10 year-old boy, a residual filling defect is shown in the right lower quadrant (arrow). Ultrasonography was subsequently performed to differentiate between incomplete reduction, a pathologic lead point, and pseudomass due to edematous ileocecal valve. Ultrasonography
revealed a hypoechoic polypoid mass in the cecum (A) with increased internal vascularity (B). The mass was surgically confirmed as diffuse large B cell lymphoma.

Fig. 5. Erect abdominal radiograph of a 11-month-old boy showing gaseous dilatation of the small bowel with multiple air-fluid levels, indicating small bowel obstruction (A). He was diagnosed with ileocolic intussusception by ultrasonography (B) and small bowel retention (asterisks) with thickening of the bowel wall (C). Subsequent pneumatic enema reduction was successful and fluoroscopy spot film showed disappearance of the soft tissue mass, reflux of air into the distal small bowel, and a swollen ileocecal valve (arrow) (D). However, an abdominal radiograph taken on the 3rd day of admission revealed pneumoperitoneum (arrows) (E) and emergent laparotomy confirmed perforation in transverse colon due to ischemic change.

Fig. 6. Longitudinal ultrasonography images of a 11-month-old boy showing ileocolic intussusception with pseudokidney signs (A). On a transverse image, trapped fluid (asterisk) is seen between the intussusceptum (solid arrow) and the intussucipien (open arrow) (B).