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Abstract

The results differ among published studies regarding exposure to meconium and the risk of developing autism spectrum disorders (ASD). The present study pooled all of the epidemiologic studies retrieved from broader databases on the association between meconium exposure and risk of developing ASD in children. The Web of Science, PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar databases were searched without language restrictions for articles published between their inception to February 20, 2020, using relevant keywords. The pooled odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated as random-effect estimates of the associations among studies. A subgroup analysis was conducted to explore any potential sources of heterogeneity among studies. The pooled estimate of OR reported a weakly significant association between meconium exposure and ASD development in children (OR, 1.13; 95% CI, 1.03–1.24). There was low heterogeneity among the articles reporting risk for ASD among children ($I^2 = 19.3\%$; $P = 0.259$). The results of subgroup analysis based on meconium exposure showed a significant association between a meconium-stained neonate and ASD development (OR, 1.18; 95% CI, 1.11–1.24). Meconium exposure was weakly associated with an increased risk of ASD. However, more evidence based on large prospective cohort studies is required to provide conclusive evidence about whether meconium exposure is associated with an increased risk of ASD development.
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Key message

- Meconium exposure is weakly associated with an increased risk of autism spectrum disorder (ASD) development in children.
- More evidence based on large prospective cohort studies is required to conclude whether meconium exposure is associated with an increased risk of ASD.
Introduction

Autism spectrum disorders (ASDs) are a complex of chronic neurological situations consisting of persistent shortfalls in social communication, repetitive behaviors or activities in childhood, and inappropriate behavior. 1) The prevalence of ASDs has increased in recent years, especially in developed countries. 2) Evidence shows that the incidence of ASD has increased more than tenfold in the last three decades. 3) The increased survival rate of infants in neonatal intensive care units may partly justify the increased incidence of ASDs. 4)

Although the etiology of ASD is not fully understood, the role of heritability as well as some gestational environmental risk factors, including gestational age, infection, micronutrient insufficiency, fetal hypoxia, and stress, has been somewhat confirmed through various studies. 5-9) However, for variables whose effects on ASD remain unclear, meta-analyses remain the gold standard.

The passage of meconium prior to delivery, which occurs in 5–25% of term births, causes nearly 5% of neonates to develop meconium aspiration syndrome (MAS). 10) MAS can increase the rate of infection or asphyxia in neonates. 11) The effect of MAS on the development of cerebral palsy or neurodevelopmental delay has previously been described. 12) Beligere et al. suggested that infants with a diagnosis of MAS later manifest neurodevelopmental delays, even if they respond well to conventional treatment. 13)

Several studies have been published regarding exposure to meconium and the risk of developing ASD. 1, 4, 14-21) However, their results differed; therefore, the present systematic review and meta-analysis pooled all epidemiologic case-control and cohort studies retrieved from broader
databases on the association between meconium exposure and the risk of developing of ASD in children.

**Methods**

**Data sources and search strategy**

This meta-analysis aimed to assess of the association between meconium exposure and risk of developing of ASD in children. We performed the systematic review using the published PRISMA statement checklist. The Web of Science, PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar databases were searched without language restrictions for relevant articles published between inception and February 20, 2020.

Medical Subject Headings keywords and terms including “meconium-stained liquor,” “meconium-stained,” “meconium aspiration syndrome,” “meconium,” “not clear amniotic fluid,” “ASD,” “autism spectrum disorder,” and “autism spectrum disorders,” with the help of Boolean operators (AND or OR), were used individually or in combination to ensure a comprehensive search (Supplement 1).

**Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

Case-control and cohort studies reporting the association between meconium exposure and the development of ASD in children were included in the present meta-analysis. Case reports, letters to the editor, systematic reviews, and meeting abstracts were excluded. Duplicate publications were also excluded. In addition, to decrease the risk of error, all processes were independently completed by two reviewers (EJ and SK).

**Data extraction**
The data from each study were recorded in a data extraction form designed by the researchers. The retrieved data included: the first author’s name, year of publication, country, study design, exposure type, adjustment, autism criteria, and study quality.

**Meta-analysis**

The data analysis was conducted using Stata software version 13 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA). The pooled odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. The random-effects model was used for the meta-analysis. Subgroup analyses were conducted based on the adjusted studies, meconium exposure type, and study design to identify potential sources of heterogeneity. The statistical heterogeneity among the studies was determined using the Cochrane Q and I² tests. To evaluate possible publication bias, the quantitative Egger weighted regression test was applied considering values of P < 0.05 as statistically significant. A funnel plot was used to visually determine publication bias. Furthermore, the study distribution was assessed using Egger’s linear regression test.

**Quality assessment**

We used the improved Newcastle–Ottawa scale (NOS) to assess the quality of the included studies. The scale includes participant selection, comparability of the children with versus those without ASDs, and outcome assessments. Two investigators conducted the assessment independently and scored the studies as low quality (<7 points) or high quality (≥7 points).
Results

Study description
A total of 366 studies were retrieved in the initial search. Of them, we excluded 152 duplicates; thus, 214 were subjected to title, abstract, and full-text review. A total of 195 studies were excluded after title and abstract screening, while 19 studies were subjected to full-text review. We excluded nine studies for not meeting the inclusion criteria (review and meta-analyses). A total of 10 studies were included in the meta-analysis. The study selection process is shown in Figure 1. The studies included five cohort studies and five case-control studies stiffen the risk of ASD development in children (I² = 19.3%; P = 0.259).

No publication bias was identified by the Begg’s or Egger’s tests (P = 0.392 and 0.877, respectively) (Figure 3).

Study quality
The present meta-analysis included two low-quality studies and eight high-quality studies according to the NOS scale score (Table 1).
Subgroup meta-analysis

We performed the subgroup analysis by results type (crude/adjusted) and study design. The pooled results based on OR of the crude and adjusted studies were 0.79 (0.20–1.39) and 1.13 (1.01–1.26), respectively. There was a significant association in the adjusted studies. The pooled results based on OR in the case-control and cohort studies were 0.86 (0.52–1.20) and 1.16 (1.07–1.26), respectively. There was a significant association in the cohort studies (Table 2).
Discussion

Overall, the meta-analysis of adjusted ORs demonstrated that risk of ASD increased by 13% among children exposed to meconium in comparison to unexposed children. Upon stratification, meconium exposure was significantly associated with a 1.18-fold increased risk of ASD. Moreover, meconium exposure was significantly associated (16% increased risk) with ASD in children in a meta-analysis of the cohort studies.

The sum of the weights of the individual cohort studies in this meta-analysis was more than 90%. Cohort studies can be considered the “gold standard” among observational studies since temporality from exposure to outcomes can be easily established (e.g. children exposed to meconium are followed until ASD does or does not occur). However, observational studies are prone to selection bias, information bias, and confounding factors; as a result, the meta-analysis results of such studies should be interpreted with caution. For example, Bilder et al. 22) mentioned that results may be affected by selection bias as a result of the total migration out of state and within the study area. In another included study, 19) the authors mentioned that all potential confounders were not considered in the causal pathway for the incidence of ASD, so a degree of residual confounding remains in the resulting effect estimates. Moreover, Miller et al. 23) reported a degree of misclassification in the outcome of interest. Considering the above issues, the pooled association of 1.13 may differ from the true value.

It should be noted that the estimated pooled association of 1.13 may not be generalizable to all developmental disorders considered ASDs such as autistic disorder or pervasive developmental disorder-not otherwise specified. For example, the meta-analysis of Gardener et al. 24) examined the effect of perinatal and neonatal factors, including meconium exposure, on the development of autism, the most common ASD, and demonstrated that meconium aspiration was significantly
associated with a 7.34-fold increased risk of autism, while the summary effect estimate for meconium staining was 0.82, not statistically significant. Here, it should be considered that the used search strategy and databases in the aforementioned meta-analysis differ from those used in present study.

In the present meta-analysis, the risk of ASD was assessed separately by type of meconium exposure. MAS, as a severe form of meconium exposure, results in an 8% increase in the risk of ASD and was not statistically significant, whereas the milder form of meconium staining was the only type associated with an increased risk of ASD. The possible explanation for this is that neonates with MAS typically receive oxygen therapy or other treatments that may decrease the potential for brain damage; on the other hand, neonates with meconium-stained amniotic fluid are deprived oxygenation benefit, making obstruction of the airways and profound hypoxia more common.

Regardless of ASD status, the association between meconium exposure and other types of neurodevelopmental disorders, such as cerebral palsy, learning disabilities, and intellectual disabilities, has been noted in the literature. Other complications associated with meconium exposure such as hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy or arterial ischemic stroke were also reported. Hypoxia can delay the maturation of GABAergic neurons in the cerebral cortex and lead to neuron deregulation. The dose, duration (acute or chronic), and degree (mild or severe) of fetal hypoxia determines the form and extent of neurodevelopmental impairment. Many studies have reported that children with ASD have disturbed neuronal overgrowth in regions of the brain. Further large-sample cohort studies are needed to evaluate the effect of meconium exposure on long-term morbidities.
This meta-analysis has some limitations that should be considered. The main limitation is the small number of eligible studies included; as such, other articles from other databases, grey literature, or local documents may have been ignored. Another limitation was a lack of available data for the subgroup analysis by some variables such as mode of delivery and mode of treatment. Furthermore, there was great variation in the sample sizes of the included studies (e.g., one had a sample size of 78, while another had over 9 million), which yielded some bias in the results, such as small study effects.

**Conclusion**

The results of this meta-analysis showed that meconium exposure is weakly associated with an increased risk of the development of ASD. Addressing the effect of meconium exposure on short- and long-term adverse outcomes requires further well-designed and large-sample cohort studies. However, more evidence from large prospective cohort studies is required to provide conclusive evidence about whether meconium exposure is associated with an increased risk of ASD.
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Table 1. Summary of the included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1st aut, year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>Adjustment</th>
<th>Autism criteria</th>
<th>Child age (year)</th>
<th>Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Piven, 1993(16)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Odds ratio Crude</td>
<td>ICD-10</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>matsuishi, 1999(15)</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>MAS</td>
<td>5271</td>
<td>Odds ratio Crude</td>
<td>DSM</td>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maimburg, 2006 (14)</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>922</td>
<td>Odds ratio Adjusted</td>
<td>ICD-8; ICD-10</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilder, 2009(18)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>26315</td>
<td>Odds ratio Adjusted</td>
<td>DSM</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nath, 2012(4)</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>MAS</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>Odds ratio Crude</td>
<td>DSM</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Case-Control</td>
<td>Odd's Ratio</td>
<td>Adjusted</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Quality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregory, 2013 (19)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>625042</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrozek-Budzyn, 2013(17)</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>288</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winker-Schwartz(21)</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>MS/MAS</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller, 2017(1)</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>M/MS/M</td>
<td>9945896</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu, 2017(20)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>32912</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M, meconium; MS, meconium-stained; MAS, meconium aspiration syndrome
Table 2. Results of subgroup analysis of the association between meconium exposure and the development of ASD development based on ORs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroups</th>
<th>No. of studies</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>I²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crude/adjusted analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.79(0.20, 1.39)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.13(1.01, 1.26)</td>
<td>57.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study design</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.86(0.52, 1.20)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.16(1.07, 1.26)</td>
<td>39.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASD, autism spectrum disorder; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval
Figure legends

Figure 1. Diagram of the different phases of the systematic review

Figure 2. Forest plot of the association between meconium and ASD development in children

ASD, autism spectrum disorder

Figure 3. Funnel plot of the association between meconium and ASD development in children

ASD, autism spectrum disorder
Supplement 1:

PubMed

Search: (((((autism spectrum disorder[MeSH Major Topic]) OR (autism spectrum disorders[Title/Abstract])) OR (autism spectrum disorder[Title/Abstract])) OR (ASD[Title/Abstract])) AND (((((meconium[MeSH Major Topic]) OR (not clear amniotic fluid[Title/Abstract])) OR (meconium[Title/Abstract])) OR (meconium aspiration syndrome[Title/Abstract])) OR (meconium-stained[Title/Abstract])) OR (meconium-stained liquor[Title/Abstract]))

Scopus

(( TITLE-ABS-KEY ( meconium-stained AND liquor ) ) OR ( TITLE-ABS-KEY ( meconium-stained AND liquor ) ) OR ( TITLE-ABS-KEY ( meconium-stained AND liquor ) ) OR ( TITLE-ABS-KEY ( meconium-stained AND liquor ) ) OR ( TITLE-ABS-KEY ( meconium AND aspiration AND syndrome ) ) OR ( TITLE-ABS-KEY ( meconium ) ) OR ( TITLE-ABS-KEY ( not AND clear AND amniotic AND fluid ) ) ) AND (( TITLE-ABS-KEY (asd) ) OR ( TITLE-ABS-KEY (autism AND spectrum AND disorder ) ) OR ( TITLE-ABS-KEY (autism AND spectrum AND disorders ) ) ) View Less
### Web of Science

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Set</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Save History / Create Alert/ Open Saved History</th>
<th>Edit Sets</th>
<th>Combine Sets</th>
<th>Delete Sets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># 10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>#9 AND #8</td>
<td>Edit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Indexes</strong>: SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&amp;HCI, ESCI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Timespan</strong>: All years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># 9</td>
<td>6,177</td>
<td>#4 OR #3 OR #2 OR #1</td>
<td>Edit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Indexes</strong>: SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&amp;HCI, ESCI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Timespan</strong>: All years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># 8</td>
<td>47,529</td>
<td>#7 OR #6 OR #5</td>
<td>Edit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Indexes</strong>: SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&amp;HCI, ESCI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Timespan</strong>: All years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># 7</td>
<td>39,435</td>
<td><strong>TOPIC</strong>: autism spectrum disorders</td>
<td>Edit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Indexes</strong>: SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&amp;HCI, ESCI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Timespan</strong>: All years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># 6</td>
<td>39,435</td>
<td><strong>TOPIC</strong>: autism spectrum disorder</td>
<td>Edit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Indexes</strong>: SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&amp;HCI, ESCI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Timespan</strong>: All years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># 5</td>
<td>27,600</td>
<td><strong>TOPIC</strong>: (ASD)</td>
<td>Edit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Indexes</strong>: SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&amp;HCI, ESCI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Indexes</td>
<td>Timespan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>meconium-stained</td>
<td>SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&amp;HCI, ESCI</td>
<td>All years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>meconium-stained liquor</td>
<td>SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&amp;HCI, ESCI</td>
<td>All years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>meconium aspiration syndrome</td>
<td>SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&amp;HCI, ESCI</td>
<td>All years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>meconium</td>
<td>SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&amp;HCI, ESCI</td>
<td>All years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Identification

No of records identified through database searching (n = 128)

No of additional recodes identified through other sources (n = 238)

Screening

No of duplicates removed (n = 152)

No of records screened (n = 214)

Eligibility

No of full-text articles assessed for eligibility (n = 19)

No of records excluded based on title/abstract (n = 195)

No of full-text articles excluded, with reasons (n = 9)

Included

No of studies included in systematic review (n = 10)
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis
Begg's funnel plot with pseudo 95% confidence limits